

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/619,307	RUKAVINA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terrence R. Till	1744	

All Participants:
Status of Application: amended

 (1) Terrence R. Till.

(3) _____.

 (2) J. Moldovanyi.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 June 2006
Time: 11:15am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 7 was amended to place the recitation "a source of suction carried by said casing" before "an outlet port defined on the casing for outlet air exiting the source of suction".

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)